UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/811,439 | 03/26/2004 | Robert E. Wollan | 10761.1460 | 1477 |
| | 7590 05/21/201 egan, Henderson, | EXAMINER | | |
| Farabow, Garre | tt & Dunner, LLP | PARKER, BRANDI P | | |
| 901 New York Washington, Do | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/21/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

betty.finley@finnegan.com dianna.williams@finnegan.com catherine.vanhouten@finnegan.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/811,439 | WOLLAN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| BRANDI P. PARKER | 3624 | | |

| | BRANDI P. PARKER | 3624 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>04 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Action of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | 10 100000 101 |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | n be entered and an ex | cpianation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | /ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | I NOT I II II II II | 199 6 11 | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (last Disclosure Statement) | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /BRANDI P PARKER/ | | |
| | Examiner, Art Unit 3624 | | |

Continuation of 3(a).